Effect of Task Through Test Rankings Based Learning Model REACT to the Achievement of Physics
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Abstract

The aim of this study is to find out the effect of test-based on the ranking task through the learning model of REACT (relating, experiencing, applying, cooperating, and transferring) toward physics learning achievement. The research is held in 105 Senior High School in Jakarta on the even semester of 2015-2016. The population in this research is around 32 students roommates are all investigated. The sampling technique that use is purposing sampling technique. This research use quantitative research. After the data is distributed normally and the homogeneity based on the normality test and homogeneity, the data that is gained the $\ell_{\text{count}} < \ell_{\text{table}}$ (0.11 < 0.156).

The hypothesis test is done by using the $t$-test and the result $t_{\text{count}} > t_{\text{table}}$ (8.575 > 1.689) (on the 0.05 significant extent) or 8.575 > 2.456 (on the 0.01 significant extent) which means $H_0$ is rejected, $H_1$ is therefore accepted. All in all this research could bring the effect of test-based on the ranking task learning model through the REACT toward physics learning achievement.
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Introduction

Physics is the fundamental science [1]. Physics lesson is different from other subjects, because to solve a problem in physics is not enough just to memorise formulas, but students are required to understand a concept. Understanding the concept is not the same as reading the theory, to understand a concept of physics needed a good reasoning power and systematic mind. This is in accordance with the opinion of I Wayan Santyasa that “Physics is a human effort that relies on reasoning, insight, energy, skill and creativity” [2]. However, not all students have good reasoning power, therefore the majority of students consider physics is a difficult subject. This can lead to lazy students to learn, so they become bad grades and learning achievement will decrease.

Based on the results of preliminary observations on teaching high school physics class X, there are several factors causing low learning achievement, one of which is the students are less active role in the learning process, because learning model which used in conventional schools still use model of learning center teacher, this is certainly is not beneficial for the students, because the students’ knowledge will be confined only to the extent of what has been given teacher, the teacher is not trying to construct
the minds of their students, so that the concepts taught teachers do not come to an understanding of students, which makes the power of reason students are not developing. Thus the value of students to be bad and learn achievement also declined, this can be proved by the average value of daily test students who did not pass the minimum completeness criteria (KKM) which is set at 75. Table 1 shows the average value of daily tests of class X MIPA SMA 105 Jakarta.

Table 1: Average value of daily tests of class X MIPA SMAN 105 Jakarta in mathematics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Number of students</th>
<th>Average value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X MIPA A</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X MIPA B</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>64.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X MIPA C</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>64.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X MIPA D</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>68.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

That is why this mistake should be corrected, is necessary in the selection of appropriate learning models and appropriate, so that students become interested in hooking and understand the interrelationships concepts in every material being taught. If students are interested in learning to understand a concept, it is not impossible feat of learning can be improved. Many models of learning in this case, but not all models can be used as a perfect solution, especially in learning physics. The learning model used must be able to make students understand the concept of linkage with their knowledge. Not only that, should the learning model used to make the students construct their own think so that the process of thinking of students can be seen. One model of learning appropriate to address these problems is learning model REACT (relating, experiencing, applying, cooperating, and transferring). REACT is a part of contextual learning.

According to Agus Suprijono contextual learning is learning that develops high-level cognitive level [3]. Michael L. Crawford states that "In the REACT study model there are 5 stages namely: (1) relating, (2) experiencing, (3) applying, (4) cooperating, and (5) transferring" [4]. According Sitiatava Rizema, "This learning to train students to think critically and creatively in collecting the data, understand the issues, and solving problems. This learning model to direct and facilitate students in finding a new knowledge through the discovery itself is not what the teacher said" [5]. Then the findings are associated in everyday life to understand. So it should be able to construct the minds of students and encourage their curiosity in learning. With the construction of the mind, students will be more active and skilled in developing their knowledge, so that students are not only oriented towards the mastery formula but also to further develop towards the process of thinking with an understanding of the concepts.

Zainal Arifin said that "To support the students thinking process required an indicator of achievement" [6]. This achievement indicator function to see the successful achievement and the development of cognitive abilities of students, so in this case can be made in the form of tests. Many types of tests that are used by teachers to improve and develop the cognitive abilities of students in the understanding of the concept. In this study, we use the rankings task test. David W. Hudgins stated that "Ranking task is a conceptual exercise design which allows for students to construct the thought itself" [7]. According to Maloney in David, W. Hugens "In this test, the students were directed to examine the phenomenon of faces through pictures or diagrams that have been provided, variations matter in this test emphasis on a conceptual exercise design which allows for students to not memorise the formula" [7], so students can easily understand the physics without memorising so that the learning achievement can be improved.

Based on the presentation, by using model REACT and tests ranking task in the learning process, it is expected to develop the cognitive abilities of the students in understanding the concepts of physics, when students have grasped a concept in physics then any issues that arise in physics lessons will be solved properly, so students will get better grades.

Methods

This study was conducted in class X SMA 105 Jakarta in the academic year 2015/2016. This research was conducted in March and August 2016. The research method using the quantitative method with type research pre-experimental and research design one group pre-test post-test design. This study uses only one experimental class without control [8].

Experimental class is determined using the average observation smaller values of X class A to class X MIPA D. The average value of the smallest class used as the experimental class.

Classes are defined as the experimental class will be given treatment with REACT learning model, but prior to being treated, the students in the experimental class is given a pre-test, it is necessary to look at the students’ knowledge. Then after a given treatment, the students in the experimental class is given a test ranking task at each meeting. In tests ranking task students are exposed to three stages of image analysis stage, the stage of rank and phase argument [9]. The third stage in task ranking taken in the assessment of student achievement for the cognitive domain. Rate affective and psy-
motor taken when students are treated REACT learning models, each using observation sheet and practical assessment rubric.

The population in this study were students of class X of SMAN 105 Jakarta academic year 2015/2016. The sampling technique used in this research is purposive sampling. Purposive sampling is sampling the researchers used in determining the sample for a particular purpose. The purpose of a particular goal is, because this study uses the learning achievement of the object, in this study experimental class determination using the average value as a reference smaller. The result is the average value of the smallest class is determined as the experimental class A and class X MIPA treated using learning models and REACT ranking task tests.

The independent variables using REACT learning model, while the dependent variable consists of cognitive learning achievement. Sources of data in this study compiled research relevant variables and methods of data collection. The instruments are used mainly in research is divided into two instruments learning implementation and data collection instruments. The instrument consists of learning the implementation of the syllabus and lesson plans, while the data collection instrument consists of instruments cognitive, affective and psychomotor with complementary.

Cognitive instruments measured using a test based ranking task, whereas affective instruments measured using observation sheet of students and psychomotor instruments measured using an assessment rubric in accordance with the material practical optical devices. All the instruments to be used, previously had to be validated by experts. After that the data collection instruments be tested instruments for measuring the validity, reliability, distinguishing features, and level of difficulty of the questions.

Results and Discussion

The research data was taken from student achievement scores in the cognitive domains in the material optical instruments. The frequency distribution of pre-test and post-test are presented in Figure 1 and 2. Figure 1 shows that the highest value obtained was in the range of 41-52 as many as 15 students, while the minimum completeness criteria (KKM) is 75. This occurs because the initial test or pre-test, students have not been given treatment based test ranking task REACT through learning model, so there is no cognitive improvement for students just beginning its armed capability. While the frequency distribution graph of post-test is presented in Figure 2.

Figure 1: Frequency distribution of pre-test. The highest value obtained was in the range of 41-52 as many as 15 students, while the minimum completeness criteria (KKM) is 75.

Figure 2 can be explained that the highest value obtained by the student after being given treatment that is in the range of 80-86 as many as 11 students.

Figure 2: Frequency distribution of post-test. The highest value obtained by the student after being given treatment that is in the range of 80-86 as many as 11 students.

From the data analysis has been done it can be concluded that, based test rankings task through learning model REACT influence on student achievement, this is evidenced by the significant increase students' cognitive, reviewed of the pre-test post-test students. Here are the details of the pre-test post-test students' percentage obtained by each test.
Table 2: Details value pre-test post-test class X MIPA included in complete minimal criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Number of students</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>87.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By comparing the average value before and after the test treatment based ranking task REACT through learning model, it is known that an increase in students who pass the KKM by 62.97% in Table 2. It can be concluded that the cognitive improvement from the previous high enough, it indicates that the test-based ranking task REACT through learning model has a higher impact than by using conventional learning models. It is relevant to research by Diyan Novianti that states that the learning model REACT effect on student achievement[10].

Table 3: The results of testing as summary data of study hypothesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$t_{count}$</th>
<th>$t_{table}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>1.698</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Table 3 values obtained from table $t$ with a significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ and degrees of freedom $df = 31$ obtained $t_{table}$ on the significant level of 0.05 is 1.698 and $t_{table}$ at significant level of 0.01 is 2.456. While the calculation is obtained $t_{count}$ equal to 8.56. Because $t_{count} > t_{table}$ or 8.56 > 1.698 (at significant level 0.05) and $t_{count} > t_{table}$ or 8.56 > 2.456 (at a significant level (0.01), then $H_0$ is rejected. Thus $H_1$ received stating that there are significant based test ranking task REACT through learning model of learning achievement physics.

Conclusion

Based on the results of the research, it can be concluded that there is the influence of test-based ranking task through learning model REACT to physics learning achievement.
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